

Dr McCreadle

Copy that her become Dr Thom Dr Preubice In Boberts /

TESTING FOR HEPATITIS & SURFACE SETIGEN - MAYCOCK DEPORT

This Report has been with us for some time now and has been considered by the Blood Transfusion Edvicery Group, the Scottish Regional Transfusion Directors and the Advisory Group on Epidemiology and other aspects of infections.

In January 1976 DHSS prepared a draft circular (doe bit and 45) which they cont out for wide consultation; the replies to this have now been received and a copy sent to us (doe 50) for information. It is not yet clear what action DHSS will take on the comments they have received some of which apply to the Report rether than to the circular on which comments were invited.

In Scatland sensitive tests for the detection of HBSAS are now being used in all five regional transfusion centrus. The other recommendations in the keppert have not yet been implemented and the views of the Department on these is awaited. Some of these other recommendations including the use of antibody positive blood for transfusion purposes have been raised in the comments received by DESS. Here that the Report has been seen by the various Scottish advisory Bodies the time has probably now come to hold an office meeting to discuss the action to be taken and in particular what recommendations we wish to highlight in any circular we may send out.

S measure of urgency has arisen because Dr Thes and his colleagues feel that the recommendations we have agreed in respect of the management of NFS employees who are found to be antigen positive should be sent out as soon as possible. In Dundee in particular there tends to be some over reaction when an employee is found to have hepatitis B subigen - in some cases this has lead to the taking of theod complet from a large number of contexts. This directlar has been in fixed draft stage for some time but as it makes a fairly lengthy reference to the laycock hepart it did not seen logical to send it out in advance of that Report.

A D MORTEDES

24 March 1975

Noon 28 Ecta 2597 or 2509

SGF.001.2842

NQJ 1/2 Pt 2 (50)

Dr Waiter

co	Dr Maycook	Mr Paul Allen
	Dr Raison	Mrs Maunsell
	Mr Draper	. · · ·
	Mr Cleasby	Mr R N Roberts - SHHD
	Mrs A D Johnson	MrsS G Evans - Welsh Office

TESTING FOR HEPATITIS B SURFACE ANTIGEN AND ITS ANTIBODY

The second Report of the Advisory Group was approved by the Minister of State in October last and endorsed by SMAC at their meeting on
 11 November. It was then decided to consult outside bodies about the terms of an accompanying Circular and the following were approached:-

BMA RNA Multidisciplinary Panel PHLS IMLS ACP RC Path RCGP JCC Staff Side, General Whitley Council Regional Transfusion Directors

2. Eight Regional Health Authorities have replied and all the professional bodies except the JCC. No reply has been received from the Staff Side.

3. Both the JCC and the Staff Side are again being asked whether they have any comments. The undermentioned had no comments to offer or expressly stated that they accepted the Report and draft Circular without amendments-

> Royal College of General Practitioners Association of Glinical Pathologists Royal College of Pathologists BMA Mersey RHA West Midlands RHA

4. The following is a summary of comments received. (The reference number is to the papers on file H/B23/94H).

105A. Northern RHA

It is suggested that the circular should draw the attention of AHAs to their responsibility for informing staff of the potential risk and the need for care when handling specimens of blood or blood products.

104A. Dr Maycock's summery of comments of RPDs

Some Directors express reservations about discontinuing (with prescribed safeguards) the practice of excluding from the panel donors with a history of jaundice but the majority favour admitting such donors from a given date.

102A. South East Thames RHA

Reservations about including or readmitting donors with a history of jaundice

1014 and 100A - North Vestern RHA

- (i) The proposal that where ant@natal specimens are sent to the RTC for blood grouping, they may also be tested there for HB_SAg if the Director agrees, will require detailed consideration as to the lines of communication and the action required in dealing with positive cases in ante-natal women.
- (ii) The relevance of the more sensitive rando immuno assay techniques should be stressed as a routine in relation to testing of blood for HB₀Ag for use in renal transplant units in view of depressed immunity.
- (iii) Differential notification should be introduced if the practical --- difficulties can be overcome.
- (iv) The testing of specimens for biochemical values (often done on a machine) would not always be possible under "hepatitis laboratory conditions".
 - (v) Reservations about the construction which might be placed on para 37 which it is felt might be construed that family doctors should routinely take liver function tests of all antigen carriers; and the observation that serious hepatic pathology can exist though liver function tests are normal. Suggests that samples should be taken when the patient is referred to the hospital.
- Suggests that the report should state that (vi)_where carriers are employed in medical or paramedical duties, these should be reviewed in the light of the positive findings.
- (vii) Suggests that the patient's antigen status should be recorded in his documents, including F.P's document folder.

and the second second

99A - North Western PHA

-- 1

It is suggested that the circular should refer to the need to screen staff who handle specimens from patients who are HB_SAN positive at regular intervals.

98A and 107A - South Western RHA

- (i) It is stated that there are no biochemical laboratories with facilities to the high standard in Somerset. It is suggested that a list of specialised laboratories throughout the country should be sent to general practitioners and pathologists.
- (ii) Comments on the risks to laboratory staff and suggests that DHSS
 - hold discussions with the "technicians' Institute" and with their
 - trade union representatives without which the Somerset AHA are not
 prepared to order their technicians to undertake examination of these specimens.
- (iii) Reporting the views of the Bristol Health District the Authority write:

2

'The consultant staff here are unlikely to agree with the Committee's definition of 'high risk' patients. The medical opinion I have received is to the effect that this category of patients is unnecessary, as such patients are frequently tested in any case and that the Committee's category (ii) is too broad and their category (iv) is too vague. Dr Speller, Consultant Bacteriologist here, points out that in the latest edition of the Medical Staff handbook in use in the District other minor 'at risk' groups are defined and it may well be that comment could be made that the Department should consider the appropriateness of the categories of patients as set out in para 68.'

91A - S W Themes RHA

Comments from the Regional Transfusion Director about the staffing implications and washing facilities.

904 - The Institute of Medical Laboratory Sciences

Suggest a drafting change to improve clarity and emphasis.

72A - Oxford RHA

Question the necessity of testing all staff.

5. The above does not purport to be a complete account of all the comments received but is intended to snow the general line of thought and the weight of opinion expressed. Those consulted have in many instances not confined their comments to the draft circular but have commented on the report itself. The two main areas of concern seem to relate to the safety of staff handling infected material and the misgivings in some quarters about opening the donor panel to persons with a history of jaundice.

ucion

T E DUTTON HS2A Room 1208 HANH X 574

16 March 1976